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Agenda

Welcome
- Barbara Lund, AHRQ NRC TA Lead, Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative
- Vera Rosenthal, AHRQ NRC, Junior Service Fellow

Grantee Introductions

Format for Today’s Session
- Overview of Provider Engagement Issues
- Presentations
- Physician Responses

Discussion
Technical Assistance Overview

- Goal: To support grantees in the meaningful progress and on-time completion of Health IT Portfolio-funded grant projects
- Technical Assistance (TA) delivered in two ways:
  - One-on-one individual TA
  - Multi-grantee webinars
  - Multi-grantee peer-to-peer teleconferences
- Ongoing evaluation to improve TA offerings
Key Resources

- **AHRQ National Resource Center for Health IT**
  - [www.healthit.ahrq.gov](http://www.healthit.ahrq.gov)

- **AHRQ Points of Contact**
  - Vera Rosenthal, vera.rosenthal@ahrq.hhs.gov

- **AHRQ NRC TA Team**
  - Erin Grant, Kai Carter, Julia Fitzgerald: Booz Allen Hamilton; grant_erin@bah.com; carter_nzinga@bah.com; Fitzgerald_julia@bah.com
  - Barbara Lund and Rachel Kell: Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative, [NRC-TechAssist@AHRQ.hhs.gov](mailto:NRC-TechAssist@AHRQ.hhs.gov)

- **AHRQ NRC Project Monitoring and Reporting Team: John Snow Inc.**
Housekeeping

- All phone lines are UN-muted
- You may mute your own line at any time by pressing *6 (or via your phone’s mute button); press * 7 to un-mute
- Questions may also be submitted at any time via ‘Chat’ feature on webinar console
- Online survey will be sent to each participant at conclusion of Webinar
- Discussion summary will be distributed to attendees
Grantee Introductions

- Name, Organization, Project PI

- Note any issues and challenges related to using EHRs for research
Today’s Presentation

Practical Approaches to Using Electronic Health Records for Research:
Challenges and Mitigation Strategies
Using EHRs for Research: Setting the Stage

Facilitator: Barbara Lund, MBA, MSW, AHRQ NRC TA Team, Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative
Vendors often have different priorities than researchers, resulting in delays in implementations and training

- May charge for software modifications
- Challenging to find the ‘right’ person at the vendor for collaboration on requests
- Implementation, version upgrades, enhancement requests typically take longer to implement than planned
Limitations of EHRs for Research Studies

- Most EHR systems are perceived to be too "bulky" and do not allow for streamlined data entry.
- Providers often use one system to enter data and a different system for analysis and reporting.
- Many traditional EHR systems lack flexibility and have limited – or highly complex – reporting systems.
Challenges of Structured Data Entry

- Inconsistent input of data into EHRs
- Many physicians prefer to hand write notes and/or dictate, and resist structured data entry
- Limited resources available to train practice staff on required fields for data entry
- “We’ve always done it this way!”
Today’s Presenters

- David R. Mehr, MD, MS - William C. Allen Professor, Director of Research, Dept. of Family and Community Medicine, University of Missouri-Columbia School of Medicine
- Rainu Kaushal, MD MPH - Chief of the Division of Quality and Medical Informatics at Weill Cornell Medical College
- Melissa Honour - Administrative Director, Center Healthcare Informatics and Policy at Weill Cornell Medical College
- Barbara Lund, MBA, MSW – Project Director, Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative, AHRQ NRC TA Lead
Implementation Issues and Solutions
Issues

- Delayed implementation
- Difficulty communicating
- Software not functioning properly
- Plan for maintaining functionality
A few Project Details

- MU/Cerner Collaboration on tools for chronic illness care: “Medical Home Project”
- AHRQ R18 to evaluate quality improvement
  - Registry function and analytics (quality performance measures)
  - Pt web portal—IQ Health (Healthe)
Family Medicine Performance on Diabetic Quality Measures

Patients over the age of 18 with one visit during the last 24 months and a documented problem or diagnosis of diabetes. These measures are from NQfIA (NGF endorsed), targets are HEDIS 50%, data is refreshed nightly at 12:00 am.
Delayed Implementation

- Find out where the problem lies
- Communicate
- Consider becoming involved in implementation

Vendor ↔ IT Staff

Researcher
Communication

- Different vocabularies and approaches
- Successful collaboration requires a substantial time to learn to communicate and work together
Software Problems

- Even if it works in mock environment, it may not work in production
  - Be sure users have good ways to communicate problems
  - Learn who can troubleshoot problems and develop relationships with them

- Workflow and usability issues may not be recognized before implementation in production
Maintenance

- Code upgrades may break functioning systems
  - Need for ongoing vigilance
- Identifying IT staff who will maintain and update systems is crucial
In our case, developing a productive working relationship with our vendor led to an institutional-vendor partnership to further development of new products and research.
Summary

- Find out where the problem lies
- Communicate
- Develop approaches to bringing together key people and insuring system maintenance
Dr. Rainu Kaushal
and
Melissa Honour

Center Healthcare Informatics and Policy at Weill Cornell Medical College
Research Model

Product
Formative data

- Academics
- EHR Users
- Vendors

State and national policy implications

Policy makers

Business implications

Vendors

Population health implications

General public

Best practices, lessons learned

Stakeholders, EHR users

Theory, generalizability, implications for training

Researchers
Reasons and considerations for collaboration: The Academic Perspective

Study Design

- Understand the priorities and intentions of the designers and builders of a system
- Align academic and vendor language
- Understand potential customizations by users
- Understand the clinical research laboratory: who is using the system, how they are using the system, and where the system is being used
- Understand which data elements and for whom data are stored and can be accessed from the system
- Request changes in vendor product to accommodate research requirements
- Formative versus summative study
Reasons and considerations for collaboration: 
*The Academic Perspective*

- **Funding**
  - Actual financial support
  - In kind support

- **Collaboration agreements and data use agreements**

- **Authorship and acknowledgement considerations**

- **Dissemination**
  - Implement results of research studies
  - Disseminate results of research studies
How do you interact?

Research Community + Vendor Community = Shared Value & Perspectives

Relationships
Shared Value
Reasons for collaboration

vendor perspective

- Increase client satisfaction
  - if grantee is working with clients using products
- Creditability of research
  - reputation
- Expertise and knowledge
  - improve product and implementations
- Marketing and sales
  - disseminate positive results
Situation: the cost benefit of “one of request” often perceived by vendor as not as beneficial

Solution: *Collaboration* with multiple grantees or academic intuitions and approach the vendor from a group perspective

- Example: 15 academic centers with shared goals for collaboration with vendors
- Mission statement agreed upon all parties
**Example:**
Group prioritization on shared goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 10 priorities for research collaboration</th>
<th>Top 10 priorities for Vendor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medication Safety</td>
<td>eMar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDS *</td>
<td>Documentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication Reconciliation*</td>
<td>Med Reconciliation*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert monitoring &amp; dashboard*</td>
<td>Order Management*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Order Management*</td>
<td>Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Access &amp; Management</td>
<td>Alert Management*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical documentation</td>
<td>Filters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>CDS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Display</td>
<td>Order sets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *APPEAR ON BOTH LISTS*
Additional opportunities

- **User Group Conferences**
  - Great opportunity to network !!
  - Opportunity to disseminate results

- **Online User Community**
  - Vendors actively participate
  - Clients engagement very high !!

- **Product Development workshops**
Disseminate your research expert advisors to vendors

- Does your research help vendor *differentiate* in the market or *stay ahead*?
  - ACO, Patient Centered Medical Home
  - Mobility solutions

- Does your research answer *profitable vendor questions*?
  - Benefits of HIT
    - Quality, Economic & Satisfaction
  - User Satisfaction
  - Adoption
    - Profiles of users who adopt systems

- Does your research help them *innovate*?
  - Population health
  - Clinical decision support applications
Disseminate your research expert advisors to vendor executives

- Trusted relationship with leadership
  - Guide them on priorities
  - Align their goals with federally funded projects, HIT policy

- Example: Vendor Advisory Board
  - Members: CIO, CQO, COO representation from hospitals & academic centers
  - Quarterly meetings with Vendor leadership
  - Goal: prioritize and review vendor product and service strategies

- Benefit Vendor:
  - Expert advise & market the advisory board

- Benefit Research:
  - relationships building and access to decision makers
Barbara Lund
Massachusetts eHealth Collaborative
Pre-implementation Considerations

- Determine desired end-state based on practice and research goals
- Understand exactly how EHR needs to be configured prior to implementation
- Pay close attention to impact on practice workflow
- Standardize whenever possible
- Leverage ‘Meaningful Use’ - unique opportunity to support common data entry and extraction
Post-implementation Considerations

- Practice remediation - train/re-train for consistent and standardized use
- Use discrete data elements whenever possible
- Encourage automation of lab results and other data elements from interfaces
- Leverage MU as much as possible
- Work with practice to establish policies and procedures for data entry and use
EHR and HIE Vendors

- Some vendors more flexible than others for upgrades, enhancements
  - SAAS, ASP, In-office servers, etc.

- Ensure there is adequate time to work with vendor on enhancement requests – then double it!

- Initial vendor selection - work closely with practice to determine best “fit” for practice
Implementing Quality Reporting in the Practice (I)

- Identify reporting needs
- Align reporting criteria whenever possible
  - Patient Centered Medical Home, PQRI, Meaningful Use
- Conduct practice readiness assessment
  - EHR vendor capabilities
  - Remediation needs
  - Practice staff capabilities, bandwidth, readiness to change
Implementing Quality Reporting in the Practice (II)

- **Practice remediation**
  - Implement workflow and EHR documentation changes
  - Train staff
    - Every staff member needs to work at their highest level
    - Incentive programs will inevitably benefit entire staff

- **Data extracted is only as good as the data entered**
  - Emphasize the importance of understanding where the data comes from
  - Use quality reports to make staff accountable
    - Produce reports on a consistent basis to show improvement in data entry
  - Acknowledge the extra effort that staff members have put forth
Quality Data Center (I)

- Quality data center/warehouse
  - Enables automated extraction and aggregation of clinical data
  - Reduces errors
  - Less impact on the practice
  - Manipulate data for various reporting needs
- Peer comparison
- Benchmarking
Example of a Peer Comparison Report
We welcome your comments and questions

Reminder: press *6 to mute; press *7 to un-mute

Questions may also be submitted via ‘Chat’ feature on webinar console at any time
Final Comments

- Discussion Summary
  - Will be distributed to all Webinar participants

- Evaluation Form
  - Online survey will be sent to each participant at conclusion of Webinar
  - We value your input
  - Thank you for joining us today!
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