



Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Advancing Excellence in Health Care

Partners HealthCare Information Systems

Clinical Decision Support Consortium: How far have we come?

Blackford Middleton, MD, MPH, MSc
Corporate Director
Clinical Informatics Research and Development

June 3, 2010



Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Advancing Excellence in Health Care

Introduction

Clinical Decision Support Consortium (CDSC)

AHRQ Contract #: 290-08-10010

Participating Organizations:

Partners HealthCare

Regenstrief Institute

Siemens Medical Solutions

Veterans Health Administration

Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research

University of Texas School of Health IS

NextGen

UMDNJ

MVIPA

Mayo Clinic

GE Healthcare

OHSU




CDSC Goal and Significance

Goal: To assess, define, demonstrate, and evaluate best practices for knowledge management and clinical decision support in healthcare information technology at scale – across multiple ambulatory care settings and EHR technology platforms.

Significance: The CDS Consortium will carry out a variety of activities to improve knowledge about decision support, with the ultimate goal of supporting and enabling widespread sharing and adoption of clinical decision support.

1. Knowledge Management Life Cycle		
2. Knowledge Specification	3. Knowledge Portal and Repository	4. CDS Public Services and Content
5. Evaluation Process for each CDS Assessment and Research Area		
6. Dissemination Process for each Assessment and Research Area		

CDSC Conceptual Approach



KMLA: CDS/KM Best Practices

Tools and techniques that should have high priorities in organizations interested in developing successful CPOE and CDS implementations:

1. External repository of clinical content with web-based viewer
2. Online, collaborative, interactive, Intranet-based tool to facilitate content development
3. Enterprise-wide tools to maintain controlled clinical terminology concepts
4. A multidisciplinary team responsible for creating and maintaining clinical content

Sittig DF, Wright A, Simonaitis L, Carpenter JD, Allen GO, Doebbeling BN, Sirajuddin AM, Ash JS, Middleton B. The state of the art in clinical knowledge management: an inventory of tools and techniques. *Int J Med Inform.* 2010 Jan;79(1):44-57.

KMLA: Vendor Capabilities

Representatives of 9 **commercially-available, CCHIT-certified** clinical information systems (CIS) were interviewed to evaluate their CIS capabilities' along 4 functional axes:

1. **Triggers:** events that invoke a CDS rule.
2. **Input data:** data elements used by a rule to make inferences.
3. **Interventions:** possible actions a CDS module can take.
4. **Offered choices:** choices given when an event triggers.

Trigger, input data, and intervention axes are generally well covered by major CIS's, **but offered choices are not.**


Dramatic system-by-system variability was found. CCHIT should include all features measured in this study in their future certification criteria.

KMLA: Recommendations

Recommendations for clinical guideline development organizations regarding CDS-related standards:

1. Identify **standard data triggers**
2. Work on increasing **clarity and internal consistency of clinical logic**
3. Suggest **appropriate personnel and best insertion points in clinical workflow** to deliver CDS interventions
4. Facilitate **selective filtering** with guidelines
5. Support the **HL7 InfoButton standard** in guidelines
6. Include **experienced and well-trained clinical informaticians** on all committees in guideline development groups


KTS: Four-Layer Model and Evaluation




Initial evaluation results: Structured recommendation (L3) was considered *more implementable* than the semi-structured recommendation (L2).

KM Portal: Gateway to Clinical Knowledge

CDS Services: ECRS Functionality



Reminder Lifecycle



“Prevalence”

Patients with Type2 DM

“Logic”

Overdue for A1C Test

“Display” “Acknowledged” “Performance” “Outcome”

Reminder displayed to user

User clicks on reminder and chooses coded response

A1C test result documented

A1C <=7.0



Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Advancing Excellence in Health Care

CDS Performance Dashboard:

CAD and no aspirin

Report: CDS Dashboard - Reminder Designer View
Report Run For: EINBINDER, JONATHAN SETH, M.D., M.P.H.

Single Month View For Month: 12/2009


Condition: CAD

Measure: Patient has CAD and aspirin is on the med list

Reminder: Patient has CAD-equivalent on problem list and aspirin is not on the med list. Recommend aspirin.

Date Range: 12/2009


Reminder Performance By Category



Total Acknowledged	17
NNTR - 1 X per month	3.87
NNTR - Total Reminders	20.96
Patients Where Reminder Displayed	2,757
Total Count Displays	14,944
Pts with Reminders & Perf	713
Pts with Reminders & no Perf	2,044
Pts with no reminders and no perf	17,262
Pts with no reminders and perf	27,763
Performing Total	28,476
N	47,782


Reminder Performance

For 12/2009



Reminder Acknowledgement

For 12/2009



Acknowledgement Performance

For 12/2009





Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Advancing Excellence in Health Care

CDSC Impact to Date

1. Disseminating recommendations on best practices for knowledge management and CDS to key stakeholders such as CCHIT, HITSP, and health IT vendors
2. Facilitating cross-institutional knowledge engineering collaboration via the CDSC KM portal and through refinement of the four-layer knowledge representation
3. Demonstrating interoperable CDS Services in the Partners LMR, and soon in the Regenstrief Institute

Next Steps: Optional Year 1

CDSC will build upon research efforts from the Base Years through the following activities:

1. **Revisit CDSC sites** after implementation of services to assess activities
2. Continue **refining multilayered knowledge representation**
3. **Support the KM Portal** to further facilitate collaboration
4. **Develop updated recommendations** on CDS best practices for health IT and content vendors, and regulatory/certification authorities
5. Provide **support and maintenance** for CDS Services and **demonstrate interoperability** at remote sites
6. Support **development of CDS Dashboards** at remote sites
7. **Evaluate activities** across all projects and **disseminate findings**



Acknowledgements

CDSC Website: <http://www.partners.org/cird/cdsc/>

KMLA/Recommendations: Dean F. Sittig, PhD

Knowledge Translation and Specification: Aziz Boxwala, PhD and Roberto Rocha, MD, PhD

KM Portal: Tonya Hongsermeier, MD, MBA

CDS Services: Howard Goldberg, MD

CDS Demonstrations: Adam Wright, PhD

CDS Dashboards: Jonathan Einbinder, MD

Evaluation: David Bates, MD, MSc

Content Governance Committee: Saverio Maviglia, MD, MSc