

RECAP: DAY 2

IT: Need to evaluate IT > Methods to evaluate the value of information

Value Add > What are the different values?

Dashboards

Not done right the first time

Not comprehensible & it's supposed to be for consumers

Use skills in community to produce reports that are readable

Finance: Use to define reimbursements > diff types of incentives, performance, structure

QDM: Challenges on implementing models > integration > sys/comp-simulation
awareness (smart consumers)
There are diff types of tools

Giving patients some of the work > using risk communication signs

Patients NOT part of the health care sys – capable, but they don't understand the medical aspects

Work is upon the phys/primary care > Research on how to bring patients & phys together
ie: case mgmt, nurses, etc., create incentives
recognize dec-making is a shared task across providers & patients

Transparency of dec-making > accountability on phys to phys, etc.

Transition from hosp to nursing home & vice versa

Shared monitor coordination??

CHALLENGES:

Payment mechanisms are for human interventions

No substitute for technology

Value of delivery: do the right thing, get paid

Learning quantitative decision making – built into the sys

Who will spend the time?

Not phys, but researchers

Communicate effectively

There is no basic science with same format for everyone to understand

A solution does not have to fit for everyone

Add special solutions b/c there isn't just one way

ie: illiterate

Not personalized meds – what to call it? – “communication customized to the patients”

System-integration

Diff classes of patients

Know value add first before ...

RECOMMENDED APPROACHES

Which way -- start a safer way, but sometimes worse or another alternative?

System that's reasonably capable

Adaptable, but there's a big cost

Max reliability

Max eff

Include specific examples of implementation

1 grp – we *know* will succeed – realistically scaled

1 grp – we *believe* will be successful

Needs to be teamed with CMS

Multi-center

Diff sectors of ISC(?)

Include sys-engineer model

How does sys engineers learn & apply them?

CMS partnership – as long as there is payment, there will be participation

Paid to deliver care



MILESTONES:

Identify value add – assessment

Show early results

2011 – based on old sci > identify & deliver value add

How to develop methodology to really do it right

Refine concepts

Keep on updating

Research can help identify what's consistent across **all** diseases

2013 – financial incentives based on a value add

The more you do it right, the better you get paid

Who will do it?

Don't have the manpower to do more than 30 diseases...

How long does it take? 2 years? 3 years?'

Needs to be scientifically sophisticated – level of an engineer

Important examples of shared dec-making for major diseases (based on value add)

Certain test beds (already identified value add) – rec approach

Center around current successes

Funding – enormous resources, but do they work?

Prevention program impacts crim justice, health, social

MCGLYNN?



Resources: Fin, Human, Tech

Human:

- IsE methodology
- Risk communication

Fin:

- Efficient funding from the government
- Need capital support

Tech:

- Dev new IT
- Programming
- Research team

Diff parties work together

Sr. Leadership – HCP – strategic leadership

Service line leaders – how diff are they in diff organizations



DISSEMINATION & SUSTAINABILITY

Journals

- Open source products

- Publish business cases / success stories

- There are multiple audiences

Training

- Classrooms > med schools

- Focus group interactions

Alliances – create alliance b/w diff reps

- AMA, AHA, etc. work together

AAMC